

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP  
2 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)  
charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com  
3 David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)  
davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com  
4 Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)  
melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com  
5 John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)  
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com  
6 Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)  
jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com  
7 50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4788  
8 Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

9 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC  
10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12

13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
14

15 WAYMO LLC,

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

16 Plaintiff,

**DECLARATION OF LINDSAY COOPER  
IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL (DKT. 409)**

17 vs.

18 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING  
LLC,

Defendants.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 I, Lindsay Cooper, declare as follows:

2       1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am admitted to  
 3 practice before this Court. I am an associate at the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan,  
 4 LLP, counsel for the Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”). I have personal knowledge of the matters set  
 5 forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.

6       2. I make this declaration in support of Defendants’ Administrative Motion to Seal  
 7 portions of their Response to Waymo’s Supplemental Brief Regarding Haslim Testimony, and  
 8 Supporting Exhibits Thereto (the “Administrative Motion”). The Administrative Motion seeks an  
 9 order sealing certain highlighted portions of Defendants’ Response to Waymo’s Supplemental Brief  
 10 Regarding Haslim Testimony, and Supporting Exhibits Thereto (Dkt. 409) (“Defendants’ Response  
 11 and Supporting Exhibits”).

12       3. Portions of Defendants’ Response and Supporting Exhibits (including Yang Exhibit 1-  
 13 2 and 4-6 contain or refer to trade secret and confidential business information, which Waymo seeks  
 14 to seal.

15       4. Portions of Defendants’ Response (portions highlighted in green), portions of Yang  
 16 Exhibits 1-2 and 4 (portions highlighted in green) and Yang Exhibits 5-6 (entire documents) contain,  
 17 reference, and/or describe Waymo’s asserted trade secrets. The information Waymo seeks to seal  
 18 includes the confidential design and functionality of Waymo’s proprietary autonomous vehicle  
 19 system, which Waymo maintains as secret. I understand that these trade secrets are maintained as  
 20 secret by Waymo (Dkt. 25-47) and are valuable as trade secrets to Waymo’s business (Dkt. 25-31).  
 21 The public disclosure of this information would give Waymo’s competitors access to in-depth  
 22 descriptions—and analysis—of the functionality of Waymo’s autonomous vehicle system. If such  
 23 information were made public, I understand that Waymo’s competitive standing would be  
 24 significantly harmed.

25       5. Waymo’s request to seal is narrowly tailored to those portions of Defendants’  
 26 Response that merit sealing, and the scope of information that Waymo is seeking to seal is consistent  
 27 with other administrative motions to seal that have already been granted by the Court in this case.  
 28 (See Dkt. 416, 414, 406, 393, 392.)

1

2 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United  
3 States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San  
4 Francisco, California, on May 15, 2017.

5

6

By /s/ Lindsay Cooper

7

Lindsay Cooper

8

Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28